Ref No: SA/09/440

Date: 06-03-2010

Engr. M. Amir Saeed Project Director, Directorate of Planning & Developments University of Karachi, Karachi.

#### <u>TENDER EVALUATION OF BIDS FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT</u> <u>CONSTRUCTION OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABS (PPL BLOCK)</u>

### TENDER SCRUTINY REPORT

Dear Sir,

Referring to your letter # P&D/BLUC/RH/CG-SQ/0110/09 dated January 29<sup>th</sup>, 2010.

The tender for the project were issued to Sixteen (16) Contractors, only Ten (10) participated in the competition. The original tenders were received by us on  $03^{rd}$  February 2010 for scrutiny. The names of the contracting firms and the amount quoted by them are given below.

S #	NAME OF CONTRACTORS	REBATE	AMOUNT AFTER REBATE (PKR)	POSITION
01.	M/S. AA Contracting Co.	600,000.00	5,969,321.00	Lowest
02.	M/S. Modern Dynamic Associates	-	6,087,931.90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest
03.	M/S. Gulraiz Construction Co.	-	6,498,062.78	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest
04.	M/S. Shkoor Construction	-	6,580,077.00	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
05.	M/S. Asghar Ali & Co.	7.45%	7,503,112.20	5 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
06.	M/S. Rabia Enterprises.	-	7,744,996.96	6 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
07.	M/S. Construction Zone.	-	7,865,518.30	7 <sup>th</sup> Lowest

### Positions as per tender opening statements before scrutiny:

08.	M/S. Paramount Constructors.	2.00%	7,919,423.00	8 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
09.	M/S. National Engineers.	-	8,241,805.40	<sup>9th</sup> Lowest
10.	M/S. Bali Builders.	-	8,253,828.60	10 <sup>th</sup> Lowest

# After scrutiny and checking of calculations, the bid amount changed as given below:

S #	NAME OF CONTRACTORS	REBATE	AMOUNT AFTER REBATE (PKR)	POSITION
01.	M/S. AA Contracting Co.	600,000.00	5,933,014.48	Lowest
02.	M/S. Modern Dynamic Associates	-	6,073,637.07	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest
03.	M/S. Gulraiz Construction Co.	-	6,498,062.78	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest
04.	M/S. Shkoor Construction	-	6,580,079.02	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
05.	M/S. Asghar Ali & Co.	7.45%	7,503,112.20	5 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
06.	M/S. Rabia Enterprises.	-	7,816,496.98	6 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
07.	M/S. Construction Zone.	-	7,865,718.27	7 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
08.	M/S. Paramount Constructors.	2.00%	7,902,015.06	8 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
09.	M/S. National Engineers.	-	8,241,800.83	9 <sup>th</sup> Lowest
10.	M/S. Bali Builders.	-	8,251,212.78	10 <sup>th</sup> Lowest

S#	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN	AMOUNT (PKR)	PERCENTAGE
01.	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	140,622.59	2.32%
02.	2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	424,425.71	6.53%
03.	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	82,016.24	1.25%
04.	4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	923,033.18	12.30%
05.	5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	313,384.78	4.01%
06.	6 <sup>th</sup> and 7 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	49,221.29	0.63%
07.	7 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	36,296.79	0.46%
08.	8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	339,785.77	4.12%
09.	9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9,411.95	0.11%

The difference in the total tendered cost of different Contractors is given below.

### **OBSERVATIONS:**

1. M/S. AA Contracting Co. are the 1st lowest tenderer. They are 8.69% lower than Estimated Cost. Their bid amount has decreased from Rs. 5,969,321.00 to Rs. 5,933,014.48 (difference Rs. -36,306.52) due to calculation errors but their position has not changed after scrutiny. They are approximately 2.32% lower than 2nd Bidder. Over writing and cuttings in quoted rates have been observed on Phase I: Page No. 9, Ph II: Summary Page. Rates are also not quoted on Phase I: Page No. 13 and 17.

It is observed that they have not fulfilled the basic requirements for eligibility clearly mentioned in the NIT. Thus the following documents are missing.

- Valid PEC Certificate
- Relevant Building Work Experience
- Affidavit of Not Blacklisting, No Litigation and other documents etc. (See attached Pre-Qualification Evaluation Statement)

They are therefore not considered eligible for competition / bidding.

 M/S. Modern Dynamic. are the 2nd lowest tender. They are 6.53% lower than Estimated Cost. Their bid amount has decreased from Rs. 6,087,931.90 to Rs. 6,073,637.07 (difference Rs. -14,294.83) due to calculation errors but their position has not changed after scrutiny. They are approximately 6.53% lower than 3rd Bidder. Over writing and cuttings in quoted rates have been observed on Ph II: Page No. 11. It is observed that they have also not fulfilled the basic requirements for eligibility clearly mentioned in the NIT. Thus the following documents are missing.

- Valid PEC Certificate
- Relevant Building Work Experience
- Affidavit of Not Blacklisting, No Litigation and other documents etc. (See attached Pre-Qualification Evaluation Statement)

They are therefore not considered eligible for competition / bidding.

- 3. M/S. Gulraiz Construction Co. are the 3rd lowest tenderer. They are 0.01% above than Estimated Cost. Their bid amount and position have not changed after scrutiny. They are approximately 1.25% lower than 4th Bidder.
- 4. M/S. Shakoor Construction are the 4th lowest tenderer. They are 1.26% above than Estimated Cost. Their bid amount has increased from Rs. 6,580,077.00 to Rs. 6,580,079.02 (difference Rs. +2.02) due to calculation errors but their position has not changed after scrutiny. They are approximately 12.30% lower than 5th Bidder. Over writing and cuttings in quoted rates have been observed on Phase I: Summary Page and Main Summary Page.
- 5. M/S. Asghar Ali & Co. are the 5th lowest tenderer. They are 13.40% above than Estimated Cost. Their bid amount and position have not changed after scrutiny. They are approximately 4.01% lower than 6th Bidder.

They have not provided Profile of their firm for Pre-Qualification process, therefore their bid may not be considered.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1. Bid of 1<sup>st</sup> lowest M/S. AA. Contracting Co. has further been examined and it has been noticed that their bid is non-responsive. Hence owing to the short comings in their Pre-Qualification Documents and the Bid (as detail attached in the observation) they are not recommended for award of work.
- 2. Bid of 2<sup>nd</sup> lowest M/S. Modern Dynamic Associates. has further been examined and it has been noticed that their bid is non-responsive. Hence owing to the short comings in their Pre-Qualification Documents and the Bid (as detail attached in the observation) they are not recommended for award of work.
- 3. Bid of 3<sup>rd</sup> lowest Contractor M/S. Gulraiz Construction Co. has further been examined. It has been observed that the rates quoted by them are reasonable and workable. Therefore they may be considered for award of work.

We are returning herewith original tenders (10 Nos.) of the above noted tenderers, duly scrutinized by us, for your necessary action.

We may mention here that the Scrutiny of Bids and Post-Qualifications document's evaluation has been done in the light of PPRA Rules 2010 and clarifications obtained by Engr. Amir Saeed, (Project Director) from the Deputy Director PPRA, Islamabad and the meeting our Representative had with the Consultant Director of SPPRA (Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority) Karachi.

The delay in finalization of Scrutiny of Bids and Post-Qualification documents evaluation occurred due to non availability of required information / clarifications from PPRA at an early date.

Thanking You,

Yours Faithfully,

For SHAHZAD ASSOCIATES

### (AKMAL JAMAL)

Enclosed as above...

- 1. Tender Scrutiny Statement
- 2. Original Tenders (10 Nos.)
- 3. Post-Qualification / Evaluation of Contractors
- 4. Original Profiles of Contracting Firms (10 Nos.)