1. INTRODUCTION
University of Karachi intends to construct Bio-Technology. The work is to be carried out through open tenders and post-qualification methods by the University of Karachi.

2. TENDER OPENING
The tenders for the aforesaid work were invited on 24th January, 2009 from the firms enlisted in Category C-3 or above of PEC and the following documents were required to be submitted with the tender documents on 24th January, 2009:
   a) Name of Principal Personal and their qualifications & experience.
   b) List of Technical Staff & their qualifications.
   c) List of Machinery and Equipment.
   d) List of works of similar nature completed during last five years.
   e) List of similar works in hand.
   f) Banker’s Certificate showing credit worthiness of the firm.
   g) Affidavit for being non-blacklisted firm.
   h) Income Tax returns for the last three years.
   i) Details of litigations, if any.
   j) Valid PEC registration certificate in appropriate category.

Tenders were issued directly by the Client (University of Karachi) to the prospective bidders whose technical and financial capabilities are to be assessed separately as a Post Qualification process.

Six firms participated in tendering and their tenders were received and opened in the office of client on 24th January, 2009. The names of bidders and their quoted amounts were announced and recorded by the Client’s Bid Opening Committee. All six tenderers have deposited the required tender security in the form of pay order. The tender opening results along with original bids and company profile were forwarded for Technical Evaluation to the Consultants M/s Engineering Consultants International (Pvt.) Ltd. for Scrutiny / Evaluation. Six of the firms were scrutinized.
3. MARKING CRITERIA

For the purpose of comparison a marking criteria based on the PEC Standards and adopted by the BLUC KU has been set as below:

   a) Name of Principal Personal and their qualifications & experience              02 marks
   b) List of Technical Staff & their qualifications                              03 marks
   c) List of Machinery and Equipment                                            05 marks
   d) List of works of similar nature completed during last five years            30 marks
   e) List of similar works in hand                                               30 marks
   f) Banker’s Certificate showing credit worthiness of the firm                 05 marks
   g) Affidavit for being non-blacklisted firm                                    05 marks
   h) Income Tax returns for the last three years                                05 marks
   i) Details of litigations, if any                                             05 marks
   j) Valid PEC registration certificate in appropriate category                 05 marks

Total: 100 marks

The minimum passing marks are sixty (60).

4. REVIEW

As a result of review of the documents submitted by the firms intending for the works, those Firms are considered to be pre-qualified which obtained minimum 60% marks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>NAME OF FIRM</th>
<th>MARKS OBTAINED</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/s. Nasim Co. (Pvt.) Ltd.</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/s. Tahir Enterprises</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/s. Precise Constructors.</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/s. Housing Enterprises</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Not Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/s. Al Hamza Enterprises.</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>Not Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/s. Muhandaseen (Pvt.) Ltd.</td>
<td>00.0</td>
<td>Not Qualified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION

The above table shows that only three firms stand post qualified for Bio-Technology Building on the basis of their documents submitted by them to carry out construction works for the building. The first three firms have submitted PEC registration certificate valid upto 2010. One firm has submitted the receipt of renewal for 2009, while the registration of the second firm has expired and the third has not submitted any documents.

6. RECOMMENDATION

The post qualification has been carried out keeping in view the documents submitted by the six (06) firms. It is suggested that the Client should physically verify the ongoing works and past performance of each bidders specially those who have worked for Karachi University in the past. Depending upon the findings of above suggested verification the following firms stand qualified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>NAME OF FIRM</th>
<th>MARKS OBTAINED</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/s. Nasim Co. (Pvt.) Ltd.</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/s. Tahir Enterprises</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/s. Precise Constructors.</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>Qualified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The original six number tenders are returned herewith.
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